IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

ALEX MOORE,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Case No. CIV-16-00940-JD
SCOTT JAY, Sheriff and all Appoin and JENIFER SHEFFIELD,) ntees,)	
Supervising Correctional Cook,))	
Defendants.)	

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is United States Magistrate Judge Suzanne Mitchell's Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 109] filed on December 1, 2020. Judge Mitchell recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff Alex Moore's Motion to Reopen Case [Doc. No. 103], Motion for Order to Stop Payment of Settlement Check [Doc. No. 104], and Motion for Order titled "Plaintiff Alex Moore's Request to Order the Oklahoma's Department of Corrections, Office of the General Counsel, Not to Distribute Funds into Any Other Account" [Doc. No. 107].

Judge Mitchell advised Mr. Moore of his right to file an objection to the Report and Recommendation with the Clerk of Court by December 22, 2020, and that failure to timely object to the Report and Recommendation waives the right to appellate review of both factual and legal issues in the Report. [Doc. No. 109 at 8–9]. *See Duffield v. Jackson*, 545 F.3d 1234, 1237 (10th Cir. 2008); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or

specified proposed findings or recommendations *to which objection is made*.") (emphasis added); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3) (same). "In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate[] [judge's] report under any standard it deems appropriate." *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150, 154 (1985)). Mr. Moore did not file an objection to the Report and Recommendation by the deadline or request an extension of time to do so.

Upon its review of the parties' submissions and exhibits attached thereto [Doc. Nos. 103, 104, 106, 107, and 108] and the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 109], the Court ACCEPTS and ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 109] in its entirety for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation. Accordingly, for the reasons in the Report and Recommendation [Doc. No. 109], the Court DENIES Mr. Moore's Motion to Reopen Case, Motion for Order to Stop Payment of Settlement Check, and Motion for Order titled "Plaintiff Alex Moore's Request to Order the Oklahoma's Department of Corrections, Office of the General Counsel, Not to Distribute Funds into Any Other Account" [Doc. Nos. 103, 104, and 107].

IT IS SO ORDERED this 31st day of December 2020.

JODI W. DISHMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE